arXiv:1403.3139v1 [math.RA] 13 Mar 2014

A new characterization of the exceptional Lie algebras

Pamela E. Harris*[1] and Erik Insko†[2]

[1]Department of Mathematical Sciences, United States Military Academy
[2]Department of Mathematics, Florida Gulf Coast University

March 14, 2014

Abstract

For a simple Lie algebra, over $\mathbb{C}$, we consider the weight which is the sum of all simple roots and denote it $\tilde{\alpha}$. We formally use Kostant's weight multiplicity formula to compute the "dimension" of the zero-weight space. In type A_r, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the highest root, and therefore this dimension is the rank of the Lie algebra. In type B_r, this is the defining representation, with dimension equal to 1. In the remaining cases, the weight $\tilde{\alpha}$ is not dominant and is not the highest weight of an irreducible finite-dimensional representation. Kostant's weight multiplicity formula, in these cases, is assigning a value to a virtual representation. The point, however, is that this number is nonzero if and only if the Lie algebra is classical. This gives rise to a new characterization of the exceptional Lie algebras as the only Lie algebras for which this value is zero.

1 Introduction

Given a simple Lie algebra, over $\mathbb{C}$, we consider the weight $\tilde{\alpha}$ which is the sum of all simple roots, and we formally use Kostant's weight multiplicity formula to compute the "dimension" of the zero-weight space, which we denote by $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. This representation is the adjoint representation in the Lie algebra of type A_r and the defining representation in type B_r; these cases were considered by Harris in [5] and [6], respectively. In the remaining Lie types it is a virtual representation: a representation arising from a non-dominant integral highest weight.

Our main result in this paper is a new characterization of the exceptional Lie algebras.

Main Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the sum of all simple roots. Then $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an exceptional Lie algebra.*

*pamela.harris@usma.edu. This research was performed while the author held a National Research Council Research Associateship Award at USMA/ARL.

†einsko@fgcu.edu

Lie Type	$\lvert\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha},0)\rvert$	$\lvert\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha},0)\rvert$	$m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0)$	$m(\tilde{\alpha},0)$
A_r $(r \geq 1)$	F_r	F_r	$q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{r-1} + q^r$	r
B_r $(r \geq 2)$	F_{r+1}	F_{r+1}	q^r	1
C_r $(r \geq 5)$	$F_r + 3F_{r-3}$	$2F_{r-1}$	$q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + \cdots + 3q^{r-2} + 2q^{r-1} + q^r$	$3r - 6$
D_r $(r \geq 7)$	$F_{r-1} + 3F_{r-4}$	$2F_{r-2}$	$q + 4q^2 + 7q^3 + 8q^4 + 8q^5 + \cdots + 8q^{r-3} + 7q^{r-2} + 4q^{r-1} + q^r$	$8r - 24$
G_2	2	0	0	0
F_4	4	0	0	0
E_6	12	0	0	0
E_7	18	0	0	0
E_8	30	0	0	0

Table 1: Summary of main results

In fact, we prove that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical Lie algebra then $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ is a positive integer. To prove our Main Theorem we define two subsets of the Weyl group: the *Weyl alternation set* and the *collapsed Weyl alternation set*, which we denote $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ and $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ respectively. These subsets describe particular elements of the support of Kostant's partition function. We show that in the classical Lie types, the cardinality of these sets can be enumerated using only Fibonacci numbers[1]. Then for each respective Lie type, we provide new closed formulas for the value of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula ($m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$) and its q-analog ($m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$). Table 1 provides a summary these results.

2 Background

The following Lie-theoretic notation will be used throughout this manuscript, for further references see [4, 9, 10]. Let G be a simple linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$, T a maximal algebraic torus in G of dimension r, and B with $T \subseteq B \subseteq G$, a choice of Borel subgroup. We take $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{b}$ to denote the Lie algebras of G, T, and B respectively. We let Φ be the set of roots corresponding to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\Phi^+ \subseteq \Phi$ the set of positive roots with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$. Let $\Delta \subseteq \Phi^+$ be the set of simple roots. We denote the set of integral and dominant integral weights by $P(\mathfrak{g})$ and $P_+(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively. Finally, we let $W = Norm_G(T)/T$ denote the Weyl group corresponding to G and T, and for any $w \in W$, we let $\ell(w)$ denote the length of w. Recall that that the Weyl group is generated by the simple reflections $s_1, \ldots, s_r$, where s_i denotes the reflection associated to the simple root α_i [9, Chapter 9].

The theorem of the highest weight, due to Cartan [2], establishes that a finite-dimensional complex irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ is equivalent to a highest weight representation with dominant integral highest weight λ. We denote such a representation by $L(\lambda)$. Furthermore, to find the multiplicity of a weight μ in $L(\lambda)$, one can use Kostant's weight multiplicity

[1]Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As is standard, we let F_n denote the n^{th} Fibonacci number, which is defined recursively by $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, with $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$.

formula, [11]:

$$m(\lambda,\mu)=\sum_{\sigma\in W}(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-(\mu+\rho)), \tag{1}$$

where $\wp$ denotes Kostant's partition function and $\rho=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+}\alpha$. Recall that Kostant's partition function is the function $\wp:\mathfrak{h}^*\to\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\wp(\xi)=m$, where m is the number of ways the weight $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ may be written as a nonnegative integral sum of positive roots.

Additionally, we define as in [13], the q-analog of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula:

$$m_q(\lambda,\mu)=\sum_{\sigma\in W}(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-(\mu+\rho)), \tag{2}$$

where $\wp_q$ denotes the q-analog of Kostant's partition function. That is, for any weight $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, $\wp_q(\xi)$ is a polynomial valued function defined by $\wp_q(\xi)=c_0+c_1q+c_2q^2+c_3q^3+\cdots+c_kq^k$, where c_i is the number of ways to write ξ as a sum of exactly i positive roots.

Observe that for any weight $\xi\in\mathfrak{h}^*$, $\wp_q(\xi)|_{q=1}=\wp(\xi)$. Thus, when the q-analog of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula is evaluated at $q=1$, we recover the original weight multiplicity formula. Therefore, for any weights λ and μ in $\mathfrak{h}^*$, we have that

$$m_q(\lambda,\mu)|_{q=1}=m(\lambda,\mu).$$

In practice, when λ and μ are fixed, it is often the case that given $\sigma\in W$, the value of Kostant's partition function on $\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu$ evaluates to zero, i.e., $\wp(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu)=0$. With this in mind we define the *Weyl alternation set* to be the support of Kostant's partition function:

Definition 2.1. *For λ,μ integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ define the* Weyl alternation set (of λ and μ) *to be*

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda,\mu):=\{\sigma\in W:\ \wp(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-(\mu+\rho))>0\}.$$

Even when Kostant's partition function is nonzero for an element σ of the Weyl group, namely $\sigma\in\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha},0)$, we will encounter cases where there exists a simple transposition $s_i\in W$ such that $\wp(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu)=\wp(\sigma s_i(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu)$. Given that the lengths of σ and σs_i have opposite parity, these two terms cancel each other out in the alternating sum of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula (1) and its q-analog (2). For this reason, we define the *collapsed Weyl alternation set* to be the subset of the support for which this cancellation does not occur:

Definition 2.2. *For λ,μ integral weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ define the* collapsed Weyl alternation set (of λ and μ) *to be*

$$\mathcal{CA}(\lambda,\mu):=\left\{\sigma\in\mathcal{A}(\lambda,\mu):\ \nexists\ s_i\in W\ \textit{such that}\ \wp(\sigma(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu)=\wp(\sigma s_i(\lambda+\rho)-\rho-\mu)\right\}.$$

Observe that Definition 2.2 of the collapsed Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{CA}(\lambda, \mu)$ further reduces the computation of $m(\lambda, \mu)$ (or $m_q(\lambda, \mu)$) to a smaller subset of the Weyl group than the Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{A}(\lambda, \mu)$. Namely, when computing $m(\lambda, \mu)$ (or $m_q(\lambda, \mu)$) one need only compute the value of Kostant's partition function (or its q-analog) for elements in the collapsed Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{CA}(\lambda, \mu)$.

Given a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank r, we will describe and enumerate the sets[2] $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ and $\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and 0, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha$ is the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. The sets $\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ will be particularly important when we consider the exceptional Lie algebras, as we will see in Section 6. Then for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, we will provide (new) closed formulas for the value of Kostant's partition function and its q-analog on the expression $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$. This will allow us to provide a proof to our main result:

Main Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the sum of all simple roots. Then $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an exceptional Lie algebra.*

We begin with some general background on Weyl groups acting on root spaces. Section 2.1, will develop the foundation needed for the computations in the remaining sections.

2.1 Weyl groups acting on root spaces.

It is widely established throughout the literature that the finite Lie algebras are classified by the Dynkin diagrams in Figure 1, [9].



Figure 1: Dynkin Diagrams

[2]When we want to specify r, the rank of the Lie algebra considered, we use the notation $\mathcal{A}_r(\lambda, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{CA}_r(\lambda, \mu)$.

Moreover, the action of a simple reflection $s_i \in W$ on the set of simple roots Δ is also characterized by these Dynkin diagrams. We will now recall a short synopsis of this classification and refer the reader to [1] and [3] for more on the combinatorics of Weyl (Coxeter) groups and their actions on roots.

By the definition of a simple reflection, for any two simple roots α_i and α_j we have $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, $s_j(\alpha_j) = -\alpha_j$, $s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j + c_{ij}\alpha_i$ and $s_j(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i + c_{ji}\alpha_j$. The integers c_{ij} and c_{ji} are in the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, and their particular values are determined by how many edges (and their direction) connect the nodes α_i and α_j in the Dynkin diagrams as summarized in Figure 2, [3]. It is then a simple exercise to show that s_i permutes the remaining positive roots [1, Lemma 4.4.3].



Figure 2: Three cases for the values of c_{ij}

3 $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for the classical Lie algebras

Before identifying the alternation sets $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for each classical Lie type, we classify which elements $\sigma \in W$ are never in any alternation set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0)$, where α is a positive root. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 in [8] allow us to identify a set of Weyl group elements which are not in the Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0)$ for any root $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ and any classical Lie type.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\sigma \in W$ be a Weyl group element in any classical Lie type. If σ contains a subword of the form*

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_{i+2}, \ \ s_{i+2} s_{i+1} s_i, \ \ \textit{or} \ s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+2}$$

or any product of four consecutive simple reflections $s_i, s_{i+1}, s_{i+2}, s_{i+3}$ (in any order) then σ is not in the Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0)$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

Proof. In the classical Lie types, the highest root $\overline{\alpha}$ is of the form $\overline{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Delta} c_i \alpha_i$ with coefficients $c_i \in \{1, 2\}$. Every $\sigma \in W$ permutes the roots in Δ, and so for any root α, we have $\sigma(\alpha) \leq \overline{\alpha}$ in the weight lattice. Thus the weight $\sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha_i \in \Delta} d_i \alpha_i$ has coefficients d_i from the set $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$. It follows that if an element $\sigma \in W$ acts on the weight ρ by $\sigma(\rho) = \rho - c\alpha_i$ with $c \geq 3$ for some simple root α_i, then $\sigma(\alpha + \rho) - \rho < \alpha - 3\alpha_i$ will have a negative coefficient when written as a linear combination of positive simple roots. Lemmas

3.3 and 3.4 in [8] showed that any $\sigma \in W$ containing one of the subwords listed above acts on the weight ρ by

$$\sigma(\rho) = \rho - \left(\sum c_i \alpha_i\right) \text{ with one of the } c_i \geq 3.$$

Hence any σ containing one of the listed subwords is not in the alternation set $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, 0)$ for any positive root α. □

Next we classify how the simple reflections s_i act on the sum of simple roots $\tilde{\alpha}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \alpha = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$. *Then the simple root reflections act on* $\tilde{\alpha}$ *in the following way:*

- *Type* A_r*:* $s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1$ *and* $s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r$
- *Type* B_r*:* $s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1$
- *Type* C_r*:* $s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1$, $s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-1}$, *and* $s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r$
- *Type* D_r*:* $s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1$, $s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2}$, $s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-1}$, *and* $s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r$

For all other cases: $s_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}$.

Proof. Type A_r: For $1 \leq i \leq r$, $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, and $s_i(\alpha_j) = s_j(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$, whenever i and j are consecutive integers. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) &= -\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1, \\ s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r) - \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ observe that

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i-2} + (\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i) - \alpha_i + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) + \alpha_{i+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Type B_r: For $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, $s_i(\alpha_{i-1}) = \alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i$, $s_i(\alpha_{i+1}) = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}$. If $i = r$, then $s_r(\alpha_r) = -\alpha_r$ and $s_r(\alpha_{r-1}) = \alpha_{r-1} + 2\alpha_r$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) &= -\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1, \\ s_2(\tilde{\alpha}) &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - \alpha_2 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + \alpha_4 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For $2 \leq i \leq r-1$,

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i-2} + (\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i) - \alpha_i + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) + \alpha_{i+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Finally,

$$s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-1} + 2\alpha_r) - \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Type C_r: For $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, $s_i(\alpha_{i-1}) = \alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i$. For $1 \leq i \leq r-2$, $s_i(\alpha_{i+1}) = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}$, while $s_{r-1}(\alpha_r) = 2\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r$. Observe that

$$s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) = -\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1.$$

For $2 \leq i \leq r-2$,

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i-2} + (\alpha_{i-2} + \alpha_i) - \alpha_i + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) + \alpha_{i+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Finally observe that

$$\begin{aligned} s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-3} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_{r-1}) - \alpha_{r-1} + (2\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r) = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-1}, \text{ and} \\ s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r) - \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r. \end{aligned}$$

Type D_r: For $1 \leq i \leq r$, $s_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$. If $1 \leq i < j \leq r-1$ with $|i-j| = 1$ or if $i = r-2$ and $j = r$, then $s_i(\alpha_j) = s_j(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. For $i = r-1$ or $i = r$ we have that $s_{r-1}(\alpha_r) = \alpha_r$ and $s_r(\alpha_{r-1}) = \alpha_{r-1}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(\tilde{\alpha}) &= -\alpha_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1, \text{ and} \\ s_2(\tilde{\alpha}) &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) - \alpha_2 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + \alpha_4 + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

For $3 \leq i \leq r-3$,

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i-2} + (\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i) - \alpha_i + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}) + \alpha_{i+2} + \cdots + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Finally observe that

$$\begin{aligned} s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-3} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_{r-1}) - \alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-1}, \text{ and} \\ s_r(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-3} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_r) + \alpha_{r-1} - \alpha_r = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Given the above results we now proceed through an analysis of $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for each classical Lie algebra.

3.1 Types A_r and B_r

In this section we consider the Lie algebras of type A_r and B_r, that is $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. We consider the representation with highest weight $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ in each case. This representation is the adjoint representation in Lie type A_r, and it is the defining representation in Lie type B_r. In these cases we have the following results.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the highest root of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ if and only if $\sigma = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ are non consecutive integers between 2 and $r-1$.*

Corollary 3.4. *If $r \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the highest root of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = F_r$.*

The above results are Theorems 1.2 and 2.1 in [5]. For type B_r we have the following:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ if and only if $\sigma = 1$ or $\sigma = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_j}$ for some nonconsecutive integers $i_1, \ldots, i_j$ between 2 and r.*

Corollary 3.6. *If $r \geq 2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{A}_r(\lambda, 0)| = F_{r+1}$.*

Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 are Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 in [6], respectively.

3.2 Type C_r

In this section we consider the Lie algebra of type C_r, $\mathfrak{sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$, the symplectic Lie algebra of rank r. We begin by listing some elements of W_r, the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2r}$, which are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

Notice that by Lemma 2.2 in [8] and Lemma 3.2 we can see that for $2 \leq i \leq r-3$

$$s_i s_{i+1}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 4\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1},$$

$$s_{i+1} s_i(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1},$$

and

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1}.$$

Hence $s_{i+1}s_i$ and $s_i s_{i+1}$ are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$.

By Lemma 2.6 in [8], when $i = r-1$ we have that

$$s_{r-1} s_r(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-1} - (2\alpha_{r-1} + \alpha_r) + \rho - 3\alpha_{r-1} - \alpha_r - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 4\alpha_{r-1} - 2\alpha_r,$$

and

$$s_r s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - \alpha_{r-1} - 3\alpha_r - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-1} - 3\alpha_r.$$

Hence $s_{r-1}s_r$ and $s_r s_{r-1}$ are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$.

These statements imply that $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ cannot contain any elements with consecutive factors, other than those listed below.

Proposition 3.7. *The following elements of W_r are in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$*

- *($r \geq 2$): 1, i.e. the identity element of W_r*
- *($r \geq 3$): s_i for any $2 \leq i \leq r-1$*
- *($r \geq 4$): $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}$, $s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$ and $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$.*

Proof. Since $1(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r$, it follows that $1 \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$. By Lemma 2.2 in [8] we know exactly how a simple root reflection acts on ρ. Then using Lemma 3.2 we have that for $2 \le i \le r-2$

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}+(\rho-\alpha_i)-\rho = \alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_{i+1}+\cdots+\alpha_r$$

and

$$s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}+\alpha_{r-1}+\rho-\alpha_{r-1}-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Thus $s_i \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$ whenever $2 \le i \le r-1$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{r-2}s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha}+(\alpha_{r-2}+\alpha_{r-1})+\rho-2\alpha_{r-2}-\alpha_{r-1}-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}-\alpha_{r-2},\\
s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha}+\alpha_{r-1}+\rho-\alpha_{r-2}-2\alpha_{r-1}-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}-\alpha_{r-2}-\alpha_{r-1}, \text{ and}\\
s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha}+(\alpha_{r-1}+\alpha_r)+\rho-2\alpha_{r-1}-2\alpha_r-\rho = \tilde{\alpha}-\alpha_{r-2}-\alpha_{r-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $s_{r-2}s_{r-1},\ s_{r-1}s_{r-2},\ s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$ are in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$, whenever $r \ge 4$. □

We call the subwords described in Proposition 3.7 the *basic allowable subwords* of Type C_r.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\sigma \in W$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$ if and only if $\sigma = 1$ or if σ is a commuting product of the basic allowable subwords of Type C_r.*

We now defined the following recurrence relation:

Definition 3.1. *Let $n \ge 2$. Then the sequence $\tilde{F}_n$ of positive integers is defined by the recurrence relation*

$$\tilde{F}_n = \tilde{F}_{n-1}+\tilde{F}_{n-2}, \tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{F}_1 = 2, \tilde{F}_2 = 6$.

It is easy to see that if $F_0 = 0$, then for $n \ge 1$ we have that $\tilde{F}_n = F_{n+2}+3F_{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $r \ge 3$. Then $|\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)| = \tilde{F}_{r-2}$.*

Proof. We begin by defining a family of subsets of $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$. For $k \ge 2$ let

$$N_k := \left\{ \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \begin{array}{c} \sigma = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_j} \text{ for some nonconsecutive} \\ \text{integers } i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_j \text{ between 2 and } k \end{array} \right\}. \tag{4}$$

If $k < 2$, then let $N_k = \emptyset$. It is a standard combinatorial argument to show that $|N_k| = F_{k+1}$, whenever $k \ge 2$. Therefore if we let

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{r-4}(s_{r-1}s_{r-2}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-1}s_{r-2} : \sigma \in N_{r-4}\}\\
N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-2}s_{r-1} : \sigma \in N_{r-4}\}\\
N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2} : \sigma \in N_{r-4}\},
\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) = N_{r-1} \cup N_{r-4}(s_{r-1}s_{r-2}) \cup N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1}) \cup N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}). \tag{5}$$

Thus $|\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)| = |N_{r-1}|+3|N_{r-4}| = F_r+3F_{r-3} = \tilde{F}_{r-2}$. □

3.3 Type D_r

In this section we consider the Lie algebra of type D_r, $\mathfrak{so}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$, the special orthogonal Lie algebra. We begin by listing some elements of W_r, the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$, which are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$.

Lemma 3.10. *The following words are never subwords of any element in the Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ in type D_r:*

- s_1, s_{r-1}, s_r
- $s_i s_{i+1}$, $s_{i+1} s_i$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq r-4$
- $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}$, $s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$, $s_r s_{r-2}$, *and* $s_{r-2}s_r$
- $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$ *and* $s_{r-2}s_r s_{r-2}$.

Proof. Notice that by Lemma 2.2 in [8] and Lemma 3.2 we can see that

$$s_1(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_1 + \rho - \alpha_1 - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_1,$$
$$s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-1} + \rho - \alpha_{r-1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-1},$$

and

$$s_r(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r + \rho - \alpha_r - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_r.$$

Hence s_1 and s_r are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$.

If $2 \leq i \leq r-4$, then

$$s_i s_{i+1}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1},$$
$$s_{i+1} s_i(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - \alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1}.$$

Hence $s_i s_{i+1}$ and $s_{i+1} s_i$ are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for any $2 \leq i \leq r-4$. Also notice that

$$s_{r-2}s_{r-1}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2} - (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_{r-1}) + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-2} - \alpha_{r-1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_{r-1},$$
$$s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-1} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_{r-1}) + \rho - \alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_{r-1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-1},$$
$$s_r s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_r + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_r) + \rho - \alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_r - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_r,$$
$$s_{r-2}s_r(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-2} + a_r) + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-2} - \alpha_r - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_r.$$

Thus $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}$, $s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$, $s_r s_{r-2}$, and $s_{r-2}s_r$ are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. If $2 \leq i \leq r-4$, then

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+1}.$$

Hence $s_i s_{i+1} s_i$ is not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ whenever $2 \leq i \leq r-4$. Finally notice that

$$s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_{r-1} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_{r-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{r-2}s_r s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho &= \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_r) - \alpha_{r-2} + (\alpha_{r-2} + \alpha_r) + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_r - \rho \\ &= \tilde{\alpha} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - 2\alpha_r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}$ and $s_{r-2}s_r s_{r-2}$ are not in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. ∎

Lemma 3.10 implies that $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ cannot contain any elements with consecutive factors, other than those listed below.

Proposition 3.11. *The following elements of W_r are in $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$*

- $(r \geq 2)$*: 1, i.e. the identity element of W_r*
- $(r \geq 4)$*: s_i for any $2 \leq i \leq r-2$*
- $(r \geq 5)$*: $s_{r-3}s_{r-2}$, $s_{r-2}s_{r-3}$, and $s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}$*

Proof. Since $1(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$, it follows that $1 \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. By Lemma 2.2 in [8] we know exactly how a simple root reflection acts on ρ. Then using Lemma 3.2 we have that for $2 \leq i \leq r-3$

$$s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \tilde{\alpha} + (\rho - \alpha_i) - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_i$$

and

$$s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2} + \rho - \alpha_{r-2} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha}.$$

Thus $s_i \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ whenever $2 \leq i \leq r-2$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{r-3}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha} + (\alpha_{r-3}+\alpha_{r-2}) + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-3} - \alpha_{r-2} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-3}, \\
s_{r-2}s_{r-3}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha} + \alpha_{r-2} + \rho - \alpha_{r-3} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-3} - \alpha_{r-2}, \\
s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho &= \tilde{\alpha} + (\alpha_{r-3}+\alpha_{r-2}) + \rho - 2\alpha_{r-3} - 2\alpha_{r-2} - \rho = \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_{r-3} - \alpha_{r-2},
\end{aligned}$$

hence $s_{r-3}s_{r-2}, s_{r-2}s_{r-3}, s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\sigma \in W$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ if and only if $\sigma = 1$ or if σ is a commuting product of the basic allowable subwords of Type D_r.*

Theorem 3.13. *Let $r \geq 4$. Then $|\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = \tilde{F}_{r-3}$.*

Proof. We begin by defining a family of subsets N_k of $\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$as in the proof of Theorem 3.9. For $k \geq 2$ let

$$N_k = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) : \begin{array}{c} \sigma = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_j} \text{ for some nonconsecutive} \\ \text{integers } i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_j \text{ between 2 and } k \end{array} \right\}. \tag{6}$$

If $k < 2$, then let $N_k = \emptyset$. We again note that $|N_k| = F_{k+1}$, whenever $k \geq 2$. Therefore if we let

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-3}s_{r-2} : \sigma \in N_{r-5}\} \\
N_{r-5}(s_{r-2}s_{r-3}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-2}s_{r-3} : \sigma \in N_{r-5}\} \\
N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}) &= \{\sigma s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3} : \sigma \in N_{r-5}\}
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = N_{r-2} \ \cup \ N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2}) \cup N_{r-5}(s_{r-2}s_{r-3}) \cup N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}). \tag{7}$$

Thus $|\mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = |N_{r-2}| + 3|N_{r-5}| = F_{r-1} + 3F_{r-4} = \tilde{F}_{r-3}$. □

4 $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for the classical Lie algebras

We now describe and enumerate the collapsed Weyl alternation sets $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for the classical Lie algebras, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ is the sum of all simple roots. Recall that the collapsed Weyl alternation set allows us to further reduce the computation for finding the value of $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ and $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, since for any element $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) \setminus \mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ there exists a simple root reflection s_i such that $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = \sigma s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$. Thus $\wp(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \wp(\sigma s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$ and similarly $\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \wp_q(\sigma s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$. Since $\ell(\sigma) = \ell(\sigma s_i) \pm 1$, we know that their corresponding terms in Kostant's weight multiplicity (and its q-analog) have opposite signs. Thus the sum of these two terms will be zero.

We begin by observing the following results for the classical Lie algebras.

Theorem 4.1. *If $r \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = F_r$.*

Theorem 4.2. *If $r \geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = F_{r+1}$.*

Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 follow from the fact that for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ and for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho \neq \sigma s_i(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$. Thus $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ and the theorems hold.

Theorem 4.3. *If $r \geq 4$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = 2F_{r-1}$.*

Proof. In Section 3.2 we noticed that for Lie type C_r we have

$$s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho. \tag{8}$$

Now we note that $s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2} = s_{r-1}s_{r-2}s_{r-1}$. Hence Equations (5) and (8) along with the definition of $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ imply that the collapsed Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for Lie type C_r ($r \geq 4$) is given by

$$\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = N_{r-1} \uplus N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1}). \tag{9}$$

The theorem follows directly from Equations (9) and the fact that $|N_k| = F_{k+1}$, whenever $k \geq 2$.

□

Theorem 4.4. *If $r \geq 5$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$, then $|\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)| = 2F_{r-2}$.*

Proof. In a similar way we recall that in Section 3.3 for Lie type D_r we have

$$s_{r-2}s_{r-3}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho = s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho. \tag{10}$$

Now we note that $s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3} = s_{r-2}s_{r-3}s_{r-2}$. Hence Equations Equations (7) and (10) along with the definition of $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ imply that the collapsed Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for Lie type D_r ($r \geq 5$) is given by

$$\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = N_{r-2} \uplus N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2}). \tag{11}$$

The theorem follows directly from Equations (11) and the fact that $|N_k| = F_{k+1}$, whenever $k \geq 2$.

□

5 The q-analog of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula

The q-analog of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula was defined in Equation (2). We recall it here for ease of reference. The q-analog of Kostant's is given by

$$m_q(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \wp_q(\sigma(\lambda + \rho) - (\mu + \rho)),$$

where $\wp_q(\xi)$ denotes the q-analog of Kostant's partition function. This polynomial valued function is defined on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\wp_q(\xi) = c_0 + c_1 q + c_2 q^2 + c_3 q^3 + \cdots + c_k q^k$, where c_i is the number of ways to write ξ as a sum of exactly i positive roots.

In this section, we let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ and compute $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ in all classical Lie types. We begin begin by noting that type A_r was considered in [5], where combinatorial arguments were used to prove:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $r \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ denote the highest root of $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q + q^2 + \cdots + q^r$.*

This provided an alternate proof of a result of Kostant related to the exponents of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C})$, [12]. Type B_r was considered in Theorem 3.1 of [6], which we state below using our notation.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $r \geq 2$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q^r$.*

In this section we provide proofs of the analogous results for Lie types C_r and D_r.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $r \geq 5$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + \cdots + 3q^{r-3} + 3q^{r-2} + 2q^{r-1} + q^r$.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let $r \geq 7$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q + 4q^2 + 7q^3 + 8q^4 + 8q^5 + \cdots + 8q^{r-3} + 7q^{r-2} + 4q^{r-1} + q^r$.*

Before proving Theorems 5.10 and 5.11, we recall a couple of lemmas that will be needed in the proofs. Recall that when computing $m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ it suffices to evaluate the alternating sum over those elements in the collapsed Weyl alternation set $\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, as we noted in Section 4. Tables 2 and 3 show that the theorems hold for some low rank cases in Lie Types C_r and D_r, by giving the data associated to these cases. For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, the tables include the expression of $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$ as a sum of simple roots, as well as the value of Kostant's partition function and its q-analog on $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$.

$\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_3(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$	$(q+1)^2q$	2
s_2	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_3$	q^2	1
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q+q^2+q^3$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 3$				

$\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_4(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	$(q+1)^3q$	8
s_3	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4$	$(q+1)q^2$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q+2q^2+2q^3+q^4$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 6$				

Table 2: Data for Type C_r for ranks 3 and 4.

$\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_4(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$		8
s_2	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4$		2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q+2q^2+2q^3+q^4$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 6$				

$\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_5(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$	$(q+1)^4q$	16
s_3	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5$	$(q+1)^2q^2$	4
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q+3q^2+4q^3+3q^4+q^5$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 12$				

$\sigma \in \mathcal{CA}_6(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6$	$(q+1)^5q$	32
s_2	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3q^2$	8
s_4	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_5+\alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3q^2$	8
s_2s_4	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_5+\alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = q+3q^2+5q^3+5q^4+3q^5+q^6$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 18$				

Table 3: Data for Type D_r for ranks 4, 5 and 6.

We now recall the following lemmas that will be used in the proofs Theorems 5.10 and 5.11. We note that the proofs of Lemma 5.5 and 5.6 as well as Propositions 5.7 and 5.8 follow from an analogous argument as those used in the Type A_r case found in Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 in [5].

Lemma 5.5. *In type C_r ($r \geq 5$):*

$$\max\{\ell(\sigma) : \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)\} = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-1}s_{r-2} \text{ or } s_{r-2}s_{r-1} \\ \lfloor \frac{r+2}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2} \\ \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \ell(\sigma) = k+2 \text{ and } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-1}s_{r-2} \text{ or } s_{r-2}s_{r-1}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-4-k}{k}$$

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \ell(\sigma) = k+3 \text{ and } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-4-k}{k}$$

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \begin{array}{c}\ell(\sigma) = k \text{ and } \sigma \text{ does not contain } s_{r-1}s_{r-2},\\ s_{r-2}s_{r-1}, \text{ nor } s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2}\end{array}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-1-k}{k}.$$

Lemma 5.6. *In Type D_r ($r \geq 7$):*

$$\max\{\ell(\sigma) : \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)\} = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-3}s_{r-2} \text{ or } s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r+1}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r-3}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \begin{array}{c}\ell(\sigma) = k+2 \text{ and } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \text{ or}\\ s_{r-3}s_{r-2}, \text{ but not } s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}\end{array}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-5-k}{k}$$

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \ell(\sigma) = k+3 \text{ and } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-5-k}{k}$$

$$\left|\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0) : \begin{array}{c}\ell(\sigma) = k \text{ and } \sigma \text{ does not contain}\\ s_{r-2}s_{r-3},\ s_{r-3}s_{r-2},\ \text{or } s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3}\end{array}\right\}\right| = \binom{r-3-k}{k}.$$

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$ for Lie type C_r. Then*

$$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \begin{cases} q^{\ell(\sigma)}(1+q)^{r+1-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-1} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)}(1+q)^{r-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-1}s_{r-2} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)-1}(1+q)^{r+2-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-1}s_{r-2} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)+1}(1+q)^{r-1-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.8. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)$ for Lie type D_r. Then*

$$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \begin{cases} q^{\ell(\sigma)}(1+q)^{r+1-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-3}s_{r-2} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)}(1+q)^{r-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)-1}(1+q)^{r+3-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ contains } s_{r-3}s_{r-2}s_{r-3} \\ q^{\ell(\sigma)+1}(1+q)^{r-1-2\ell(\sigma)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the proofs of Theorems 5.10 and 5.11 we will make use of the following identity [Proposition 3.3 in [5]]:

Proposition 5.9. *For $r \geq 1$,* $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k q^{1+k}(1+q)^{r-1-2k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} q^i.$

With these results in hand, we are now ready to prove the two main results of this section:

Proof of Theorem 5.10. By Equation (9) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0) &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-1}} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) \quad + \sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1})} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Propositions 5.5, 5.7 and 5.9 we have that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-1}} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r-1}{2}\rfloor} (-1)^k \binom{r-1-k}{k} q^{1+k}(1+q)^{r-1-2k} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} q^i$$

and

$$\sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-4}(s_{r-2}s_{r-1})} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r-4}{2}\rfloor} (-1)^{k+2} \binom{r-4-k}{k} q^{2+k}(1+q)^{r-3-2k} = q(1+q)\sum_{i=1}^{r-3} q^i.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} q^i + q(1+q)\sum_{i=1}^{r-3} q^i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} q^i + \sum_{i=2}^{r-2} q^i + \sum_{i=3}^{r-1} q^i \\ &= q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + \cdots + 3q^{r-3} + 3q^{r-2} + 2q^{r-1} + q^r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.11. By Equation (11) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0) &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{CA}_r(\tilde{\alpha},0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-2}} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) \quad + \sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2})} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) \end{aligned}$$

Now by Propositions 5.6, 5.8 and 5.9 we have that

$$\sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-2}}(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r-3}{2}\rfloor}(-1)^k\binom{r-3-k}{k}q^{1+k}(1+q)^{r-1-2k}$$
$$=(1+q)^2\sum_{i=1}^{r-2}q^i,\text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{\sigma\in N_{r-5}(s_{r-3}s_{r-2})}(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{r-5}{2}\rfloor}(-1)^{k+2}\binom{r-5-k}{k}q^{2+k}(1+q)^{r-3-2k}$$
$$=q(1+q)^2\sum_{i=1}^{r-4}q^i.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0)&=(1+q)^2\sum_{i=1}^{r-2}q^i+q(1+q)^2\sum_{i=1}^{r-4}q^i\\&=\sum_{i=3}^{r}q^i+2\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}q^i+\sum_{i=1}^{r-2}q^i+\sum_{i=4}^{r-1}q^i+2\sum_{i=3}^{r-2}q^i+\sum_{i=2}^{r-3}q^i\\&=q+4q^2+7q^3+8q^4+8q^5+\cdots+8q^{r-3}+7q^{r-2}+4q^{r-1}+q^r.\end{aligned}$$

□

The results below follow from Theorems 5.10 and 5.11 and the fact that $\wp=\wp_q|_{q=1}$.

Corollary 5.10. *Let $r\geq 5$ and $\tilde{\alpha}=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{sp}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)=3r-6$.*

Corollary 5.11. *Let $r\geq 7$ and $\tilde{\alpha}=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_r$ denote the sum of the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}_{2r}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)=8r-24$.*

6 Exceptional Lie algebras

In the previous sections we have shown that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a classical Lie algebra and $\tilde{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta}\alpha$ is the sum of the simple roots, then $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)>0$. We now shift our attention to the value of $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)$ in the case where $\mathfrak{g}$ is an exceptional Lie algebra, for further information on the exceptional Lie algebras we point the reader to [10].

Main Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}$ denote the sum of all simple roots. Then $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)=0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an exceptional Lie algebra.*

Our Main Theorem will follow directly from the next result:

Theorem 6.1. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is an exceptional Lie algebra, then $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \emptyset$.*

To prove Theorem 6.1 we compute the Weyl alternations sets $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, for each of the exceptional Lie algebras G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, and E_8. Then we confirm that the collapsed Weyl alternation set is empty in each case. We do so by using the same techniques as in the previous sections. For the sake of brevity, and the fact that these finite calculations are analogous to those in the previous sections, we omit the proofs of Propositions 6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 6.5, and 6.6. However, the computations in SAGE are accessible at `https://sites.google.com/site/erikinskosite/hi2014code`.

Proposition 6.2. *If $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of G_2, then $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \{1, s_1\}$.*

Proposition 6.2 is part 25 of Theorem 2.6.1, in [7]. Now observe that

$$1(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = s_1(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho \text{ and } \ell(1) = \ell(s_1) - 1.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \emptyset$, which confirms that Theorem 6.1 holds for the Lie algebra G_2. We now summarize the results for the exceptional Lie algebras F_4, E_6, E_7, and E_8.

Proposition 6.3. *If $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of F_4, then $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \{1, s_2, s_3, s_2s_3\}$.*

Proposition 6.4. *If $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of E_6, then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \{1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_3s_4, s_4s_3, s_4s_5, s_5s_3, s_5s_4, s_3s_4s_3, s_4s_5s_4, s_5s_3s_4\}.$$

Proposition 6.5. *If $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of E_7, then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_3s_4, s_4s_3, s_4s_5, s_5s_3, s_5s_4, s_6s_3, \\ s_6s_4, s_3s_4s_3, s_4s_5s_4, s_5s_3s_4, s_6s_3s_4, s_6s_4s_3, s_6s_3s_4s_3 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proposition 6.6. *If $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the sum of the simple roots of E_8, then*

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, s_3s_4, s_4s_3, s_4s_5, s_5s_3, s_5s_4, s_6s_3, s_6s_4, s_7s_3, s_7s_4, \\ s_7s_5, s_3s_4s_3, s_4s_5s_4, s_5s_3s_4, s_6s_3s_4, s_6s_4s_3, s_7s_3s_4, s_7s_4s_3, \\ s_7s_4s_5, s_7s_5s_3, s_7s_5s_4, s_6s_3s_4s_3, s_7s_3s_4s_3, s_7s_4s_5s_4, s_7s_5s_3s_4 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, list the Weyl alternation sets $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$ for each exceptional Lie type. In addition, we provide the value of Kostant's partition function and its q-analog on $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$, for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$. However we note that these value are unnecessary since, based on the following observations, it is evident that $\mathcal{CA}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \emptyset$. From Tables 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 note the following.

- In Lie type G_2: For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, we have that $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \sigma s_1(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$.
- In Lie type F_4: For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, we have that $\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \sigma s_3(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$.

- In Lie types E_6, E_7, and E_8: For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$, we have that

$$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho = \sigma s_4(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho.$$

These observations imply that Theorem 6.1 holds, which completes the proof of our Main Theorem.

$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho)$	$\wp_q\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$(q+1)q$	2
s_1	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$(q+1)q$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho) = 0$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$				

Table 4: Data for G_2

$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho)$	$\wp_q\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	$(q+1)^3 q$	8
s_3	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	$(q+1)^3 q$	8
s_2	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	$(q+1)q^2$	2
$s_2 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	$(q+1)q^2$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho) = 0$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$				

Table 5: Data for F_4

$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho)$	$\wp_q\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^5 q$	32
s_4	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^5 q$	32
s_3	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3 q^2$	8
$s_3 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3 q^2$	8
s_5	1	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3 q^2$	8
$s_5 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)^3 q^2$	8
$s_4 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$s_4 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$s_4 s_5$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$s_4 s_5 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$s_5 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$s_5 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$	$(q+1)q^3$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha} + \rho) - \rho) = 0$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$				

Table 6: Data for E_6

$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^6q$	64
s_4	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^6q$	64
s_3	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_3s_4	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_5	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_5s_4	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_6	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_6s_4	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^4q^2$	16
s_4s_3	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
$s_4s_3s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
s_4s_5	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
$s_4s_5s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
s_5s_3	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
$s_5s_3s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
s_6s_3	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
$s_6s_3s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	$(q+1)^2q^3$	4
$s_6s_4s_3$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	q^4	1
$s_6s_4s_3s_4$	4	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_7$	q^4	1
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha},0)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha},0)}(-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)=0$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha},0)=0$				

Table 7: Data for E_7

$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)$	$\ell(\sigma)$	$\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho$	$\wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho)$	$\wp_q\big\vert_{q=1}$
1	0	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^7 q$	128
s_4	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^7 q$	128
s_3	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_3 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
s_5	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_5 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
s_6	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_6 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
s_7	1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_7 s_4$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_4 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^5 q^2$	32
$s_4 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_4 s_5$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_4 s_5 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_5 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_5 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_6 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_6 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_7 s_3$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_7 s_3 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_7 s_5$	2	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_7 s_5 s_4$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1)^3 q^3$	8
$s_6 s_4 s_3$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_6 s_4 s_3 s_4$	4	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_7+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_4 s_3$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_4 s_3 s_4$	4	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_5+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_4 s_5$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_4 s_5 s_4$	4	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_5 s_3$	3	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$s_7 s_5 s_3 s_4$	4	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_6+\alpha_8$	$(q+1) q^4$	2
$m_q(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\alpha}, 0)} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \wp_q(\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}+\rho)-\rho) = 0$ and $m(\tilde{\alpha}, 0) = 0$				

Table 8: Data for E_8

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Drs. Nicholas Teff and Jeb Willenbring for many helpful conversations, which helped in the development and writing of this paper. Pamela E. Harris gratefully acknowledges travel support from the Photonics Research Center at the United States Military Academy.

References

[1] A. Björner and F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups,* Springer-Verlag, 2005.

[2] E. Cartan, *Les groupes projectifs qui ne laissent invariante aucune multiplicité plane*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 41 (1913), 53–96 (French).

[3] R. W. Carter, *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy classes and complex characters,* Wiley Classics Library, Chichester: Wiley, 1993.

[4] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Symmetry, Representations and Invariants*, Springer, New York, 2009.

[5] P.E. Harris, *On the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ and the Fibonacci numbers*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 2011, 935-937.

[6] P.E. Harris, *Kostant's weight multiplicity formula and the Fibonacci numbers*, arXiv:1111.6648 [math.RT], `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.6648v1.pdf`.

[7] P.E. Harris, *Combinatorial Problems Related to Kostant's Weight Multiplicity Formula*, Doctoral dissertation (2012). University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI.

[8] P.E. Harris,E. Insko, and L.K. Williams, *The adjoint representation of a Lie algebra and the support of Kostant's weight multiplicity formula*, arXiv:1401.0055 [math.RT], `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.0055v1.pdf`.

[9] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

[10] A.W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc. Boston, MA, 2002.

[11] B. Kostant, *A formula for the multiplicity of a weight*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 44, 1958, 588-589.

[12] B. Kostant, *The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group*, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959) 973-1032.

[13] G. Lusztig, *Singularities, character formulas, and a q-analog of weight multiplicities*, Astérisque 101-102 (1983) 208-229.